

**University of Rome Tor Vergata**  
**Department of Economics and Finance**  
**Static Regression - Fall 2018 - Prof. Cubadda**  
**Problem Set 1 - Solutions**

TA Claudia De Palo  
claudia.depalo@alumni.uniroma2.eu

---

### Exercise 1

In econometrics, a model for a conditional expectation is often specified to depend on a vector of parameters  $\beta \in B$ , which gives a parametric model of  $E(y|x)$  of the form  $E(y|x) = m(x, \beta)$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} x_{K \times 1} &= (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_K)' && \text{vector of explanatory variables} \\ \beta_{K \times 1} &= (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_k, \dots, \beta_K)' && \text{vector of parameters} \end{aligned}$$

Often, the first explanatory variable is equal to 1. This gives you a model with the intercept.

- Let us assume that the conditional expectation  $m(x, \beta)$  is linear in the components of the vectors  $\beta$  and  $x$ , i.e.,  $E(y|x) = x'\beta$

- a) Write down the regression curve and define the error term. How can you write the population regression equation?
- b) Show the implications on the error term.
- c) Using your previous results, derive the following moment condition:  $E(xx')\beta = E(xy)$ . What assumption do you need for the true parameter  $\beta$  to exist?

### Solution

- a) The regression curve of  $y$  on  $x_1 \dots x_K$  is defined to be the conditional expectation  $E(y|x_1 \dots x_K)$ . Given the linearity assumption made above, the regression curve is

$$E(y|x) = x'\beta = \beta_1 x_1 + \dots \beta_K x_K \tag{1}$$

Define the **error term** or **disturbance term** as:

$$\varepsilon = y - E(y|x) = y - x'\beta \quad (2)$$

It follows from (1) and (2) that we can always write  $y$  as its conditional expectation,  $E(y|x)$ , plus an error term that has conditional mean zero. Therefore, the population regression equation can be written as:

$$y = E(y|x) + \varepsilon = x'\beta + \varepsilon \quad (3)$$

where

$$E(\varepsilon|x) = 0 \quad (4)$$

b) The zero conditional mean in (4) implies that:

- $E(\varepsilon) = E_X[E(\varepsilon|x)] = E_X[0] = 0$
- $E(x\varepsilon) = E_X[E(x\varepsilon|x)] = E_X[xE(\varepsilon|x)] = 0$  (**orthogonality condition**)

c) Replace the definition given in (2) in the orthogonality condition:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = E(x\varepsilon) &= E[x(y - x'\beta)] \\ &= E(xy - xx'\beta) \\ &= E(xy) - E(xx')\beta \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$E(xx')\beta = E(xy)$$

This implies that, for  $\beta$  to exist, we need to assume that  $E(xx')$  is nonsingular or equivalently that  $\text{rank } E(xx') = K$

## Exercise 2

Assume that the conditional variance of  $y|x$  is a constant function of  $x$ :  $\text{Var}(y|x) = \sigma^2$ .

Show that:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\varepsilon) &= \text{Var}(y) - \beta' \text{Var}(x) \beta \\ &= \text{Var}(y) - \text{Cov}(y, x) \text{Var}(x)^{-1} \text{Cov}(x, y) \\ &= \sigma^2 \end{aligned}$$

### Solution

Notice that:

$$\sigma^2 = \text{Var}(y|x) = \text{Var}(\beta'x + \varepsilon|x) = \text{Var}(\varepsilon|x)$$

In addition, by the Law of Iterated Expectation (LIE):

$$\text{Var}(\varepsilon) = E(\varepsilon^2) = E_X[E(\varepsilon^2|x)] = E_X[\text{Var}(\varepsilon|x)] = \sigma^2$$

Recall now the law of total variance:

$$\text{Var}(y) = E[\text{Var}(y|x)] + \text{Var}[E(y|x)]$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(y) - \text{Var}[E(y|x)] &= E[\text{Var}(y|x)] \\ \text{Var}(y) - \text{Var}[(x'\beta)] &= E[\text{Var}(y|x)] \\ \text{Var}(y) - \beta' \text{Var}(x) \beta &= E[\text{Var}(y|x)] \\ &= E[\text{Var}(\varepsilon|x)] \\ &= \text{Var}(\varepsilon) \\ &= \sigma^2 \end{aligned}$$

Recall now the following definitions:

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &= y - \beta'x \\ \beta &= \text{Var}(x)^{-1} \text{Cov}(x, y) \\ \beta' &= \underbrace{\text{Cov}(y, x)}_{=\text{Cov}(x, y)'} \text{Var}(x)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\varepsilon) &= \text{Var}(y) + \beta' \text{Var}(x) \beta - 2\beta' \text{Cov}(x, y) \\ &= \text{Var}(y) + \text{Cov}(y, x) \text{Var}(x)^{-1} \text{Var}(x) \text{Var}(x)^{-1} \text{Cov}(x, y) - 2\text{Cov}(y, x) \text{Var}(x)^{-1} \text{Cov}(x, y) \\ &= \text{Var}(y) - \text{Cov}(y, x) \text{Var}(x)^{-1} \text{Cov}(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

### Exercise 3

Consider a model where the population regression function is equal to

$$E(y | x) = x'_1 \beta_1 + x'_2 \beta_2$$

where  $x_1$  is a  $K_1 \times 1$  vector and  $x_2$  is a  $K_2 \times 1$  vector.

Use the properties of the conditional expectation to show that

$$E\{[y - E(y | x_2)] | [x_1 - E(x_1 | x_2)]\} = [x_1 - E(x_1 | x_2)]' \beta_1$$

*Hint*

You can write  $E(y | x)$  as  $E(y | x_1, x_2) = x'_1 \beta_1 + x'_2 \beta_2$ , which is the same as

$$y = x'_1 \beta_1 + x'_2 \beta_2 + \varepsilon$$

where,

$$E(\varepsilon \mid x_1, x_2) = 0$$

(implication:  $\varepsilon$  is orthogonal to  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  and to any function of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ ).

### Solution

Using the property of linearity of the conditional expectation, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} E\{[y - E(y \mid x_2)] \mid [x_1 - E(x_1 \mid x_2)]\} &= E\{y \mid [x_1 - E(x_1 x_2)]\} - E\{E(y \mid x_2) \mid [x_1 - E(x_1 x_2)]\} \\ &= E\{x'_1 \beta_1 + x'_2 \beta_2 + \varepsilon \mid [x_1 - E(x_1 x_2)]\} - E\{E(y \mid x_2) \mid [x_1 - E(x_1 x_2)]\} \end{aligned}$$

Recall that:  $E(y \mid x_2) = E(x'_1 \beta_1 + x'_2 \beta_2 + \varepsilon \mid x_2) = E(x_1 \mid x_2)' \beta_1 + x'_2 \beta_2$ .

Hence,

$$= E\{x'_1 \beta_1 + x'_2 \beta_2 + \varepsilon \mid [x_1 - E(x_1 x_2)]\} - E\{E(x_1 \mid x_2)' \beta_1 + x'_2 \beta_2 \mid x_2 \mid [x_1 - E(x_1 \mid x_2)]\}$$

Applying again the linearity of the conditional expectation and since  $E\{\varepsilon \mid [x_1 - E(x_1 \mid x_2)]\} = 0$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} &= E\{x'_1 \beta_1 \mid [x_1 - E(x_1 \mid x_2)]\} + E\{x'_2 \beta_2 \mid [x_1 - E(x_1 \mid x_2)]\} - E\{E(x_1 \mid x_2)' \beta_1 + x'_2 \beta_2 \mid x_2 \mid [x_1 - E(x_1 \mid x_2)]\} \\ &= E\{x'_1 \beta_1 \mid [x_1 - E(x_1 \mid x_2)]\} + E\{x'_2 \beta_2 \mid [x_1 - E(x_1 \mid x_2)]\} - E\{E(x_1 \mid x_2)' \beta_1 \mid [x_1 - E(x_1 \mid x_2)]\} - \\ &\quad - E\{x'_2 \beta_2 \mid [x_1 - E(x_1 \mid x_2)]\} \\ &= \beta_1 E\{x'_1 \mid [x_1 - E(x_1 \mid x_2)]\} - \beta_1 E\{E(x_1 \mid x_2)' \mid [x_1 - E(x_1 \mid x_2)]\} \\ &= \beta_1 \{E[x'_1 - E(x_1 \mid x_2)'] \mid [x_1 - E(x_1 \mid x_2)]\} \\ &= \beta_1 \{E[x_1 - E(x_1 \mid x_2)]' \mid [x_1 - E(x_1 \mid x_2)]\} \\ &= \beta_1 [x_1 - E(x_1 \mid x_2)]' \end{aligned}$$

## Exercise 4

Write down the OLS problem and

- derive  $\hat{\beta}_{OLS}$
- compute  $E(\hat{\beta}_{OLS})$  and  $V(\hat{\beta}_{OLS})$
- state the Gauss Markov theorem, recalling the assumptions you need to take

### Solution

The ordinary least-squares (OLS) problem is

$$\min_{\beta} Q_n(\beta) = n^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^N (y_n - x'_n \beta)^2 = (y - X\beta)'(y - X\beta)$$

The method of OLS consists in finding the value of  $\beta$  which minimizes the function  $Q_n$ , called OLS the criterion.

a) Differentiating  $Q_n$  with respect to  $\beta$  gives

$$\frac{\partial Q_n(\beta)}{\partial \beta} = -2X'y + 2X'X\beta$$

which set equal to zero gives the **normal equations**

$$X'y - X'X\hat{\beta}_{OLS} = 0$$

If the  $K \times K$  matrix  $n^{-1}\sum_n x_n x_n' = X'X$  is nonsingular, solving the OLS normal equations gives the OLS estimate

$$\hat{\beta}_{OLS} = \left(\sum_n x_n x_n'\right)^{-1} \left(\sum_n x_n y_n\right) = (X'X)^{-1}X'y = 0$$

b) Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta}_{OLS} &= (X'X)^{-1}X'y \\ &= (X'X)^{-1}X'(X\beta + \varepsilon) \\ &= \underbrace{(X'X)^{-1}X'X}_{=I_k}\beta + (X'X)^{-1}X'\varepsilon \\ &= \beta + (X'X)^{-1}X'\varepsilon\end{aligned}$$

Taking expectation on both sides, we get

$$\begin{aligned}E(\hat{\beta}_{OLS}) &= \beta + (X'X)^{-1}X'\underbrace{E(\varepsilon)}_{=0} \\ &= \beta\end{aligned}$$

Notice now that

$$\hat{\beta}_{OLS} - \beta = (X'X)^{-1}X'\varepsilon$$

The variance of  $\hat{\beta}_{OLS}$  is therefore

$$\begin{aligned}E[(\hat{\beta}_{OLS} - \beta)'(\hat{\beta}_{OLS} - \beta)] &= (X'X)^{-1}X'\underbrace{E(\varepsilon'\varepsilon)}_{\sigma^2}X(X'X)^{-1} \\ &= \sigma^2(X'X)^{-1}\underbrace{X'X}_{=I_k}(X'X)^{-1} \\ &= \sigma^2(X'X)^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

c) **Assumption A1: Linearity in the parameters.**

The conditional expectation of Y  $E(Y|X)$  is a linear function of the parameters, the  $\beta$ 's. It may or

may not be linear in the variable  $X$ .

**Assumption A2: Random sample of  $n$  observations.**

This assumption is composed of three related sub-assumptions.

- Assumption A2.1: The sample consists of  $n$ -paired observations that are drawn randomly from the population.
- Assumption A2.2: The number of observations is greater than the number of parameters to be estimated, usually written  $n > k$ .
- Assumption A2.3:  $X$  is a non-stochastic  $(n \times k)$  matrix, with  $k < n$ , which has full (column) rank ( $\text{rank}(X) = k$ ).

Two conditions are necessary to ensure this assumption:

- the number of observations cannot be smaller than the number of explanatory variables in the model. So,  $n \geq k$ .
- there cannot be an exact linear relationship between two explanatory variables. This means that it is impossible to include one variable twice or include a variable which is a linear combination of another variable as this would lead to perfect collinearity.

If A2.3 fails, then we have  $(X'X)$  not invertible and cannot compute  $\hat{\beta}$ .

**Assumption A3: Strict exogeneity.**

$$E(\varepsilon|X) = 0$$

This statement indicates there is no relationship between the error terms and the explanatory variables.

Implications:

- $E(\varepsilon) = 0$  as  $E(\varepsilon) = E(\varepsilon|X) = E(0) = 0$
- $E(Y|X) = X\beta$ . If it fails, we have misspecification in the regression function (e.g. omitted variables).

**Assumption A4: Independent and identically distributed error terms.**

The error terms of the population  $\varepsilon_i$  are independent and identically distributed with zero expected value and constant variance  $\sigma^2$ :

$$\varepsilon_i \sim (0, \sigma^2)$$

This implies:

- A4.1:  $E(\varepsilon_i) = 0$

This is less strong than  $E(\varepsilon_i|X) = 0$ . If  $E(\varepsilon_i|X) = 0$  is fulfilled, it implies also  $E(\varepsilon_i) = 0$ .

$E(\varepsilon_i) = 0$  does not imply  $E(\varepsilon_i|X) = 0$ .

- A4.2 Homoscedasticity:  $\text{Var}(\varepsilon_i) = \sigma^2$

- A4.3 No autocorrelation:  $\text{Cov}(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) = 0$  for  $i \neq j$

A4.2 and A4.3 can be summarized as  $\text{Var}(\varepsilon) = \sigma^2 I_n$ .

The following is not a Gauss Markov assumption so we cannot list among the other assumptions:  $\varepsilon_i$

are normally distributed in the population.

OLS estimator will still be BLUE even if  $\varepsilon_i$  are not normally distributed in the population. We don't worry too much because we have normality asymptotically.

Under these assumptions, the **Gauss Markov Theorem** states that  $\hat{\beta}_{OLS}$  is the best linear unbiased estimator (BLUE) of  $\beta$ , i.e.,  $Var(\hat{\beta}_{OLS}) \leq Var(\bar{\beta}) \forall$  linear and unbiased estimators  $\bar{\beta}$ .

## Exercise 5

Consider the projection matrix  $M = I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X'$

Show that:

a)  $M' = M$ ;  $MM = M$  ( $M$  is symmetric and idempotent)

b)  $rank(M) = trace(M) = N - K$

Which is the relationship between  $M$ , the fitted values and the residuals?

### Solution

a)

$$M' = [I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X']' = I_n - [X(X'X)^{-1}X'] = I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X' = M$$

$$\begin{aligned} MM &= [I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X'] [I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X'] \\ &= I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X' - X(X'X)^{-1}X' + [X(X'X)^{-1}X'] [X(X'X)^{-1}X'] \\ &= I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X' - X(X'X)^{-1}X' + X(X'X)^{-1} \underbrace{X'X(X'X)^{-1}}_{=I_k} X' \\ &= I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X' = M \end{aligned}$$

b) In general, notice that if  $A$  is a symmetric matrix, then  $A = V\Delta V'$ , where  $V$  is the orthogonal matrix containing the eigenvectors of  $A$  and  $\Delta$  is the diagonal matrix of eigenvalues. The  $i$ -th diagonal element of the matrix  $\Delta$  is indicated as  $d_i$ .

Now,

$$\sum a_{ij} = tr(A) = tr(A = V\Delta V') = tr(\Delta \underbrace{V V'}_{=I}) = tr(\Delta) = \sum d_i.$$

We know that:

- if  $A$  symmetric, then  $rank(A) = \# \text{eigenvalues}(A) \neq 0$

- idempotent matrices have eigenvalues equal to zero or one

Our matrix  $M$  is symmetric and idempotent, therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}(M) &= \# \text{eigenvalues}(M) \neq 0 \\ &= \sum d_i \\ &= \text{tr}(M) \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(M) &= \text{tr}(I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X') \\ &= \text{tr}(I_n) - \text{tr}(X(X'X)^{-1}X') \\ &= \text{tr}(I_n) - \text{tr}((X'X)^{-1}X'X) \\ &= \text{tr}(I_n) - \text{tr}(I_k) \\ &= N - K \end{aligned}$$

Concerning the relationship between  $M$  and residuals:

$$\begin{aligned} e &= y - X\hat{\beta} \\ &= y - X(X'X)^{-1}X'y \\ &= [I - X(X'X)^{-1}X']y \\ &= My \end{aligned}$$

With respect to fitted values, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{y} &= X\hat{\beta} \\ &= y - e \\ &= y - My \\ &= (I - M)y \\ &= X(X'X)^{-1}X'y \end{aligned}$$

## Exercise 6

Prove the Gauss Markov Theorem, i.e.,  $\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_{OLS}) \leq \text{Var}(\bar{\beta}) \forall$  linear and unbiased estimators  $\bar{\beta}$ .

### Solution

Essentially, we have to show that  $\text{Var}(\bar{\beta}) - \text{Var}(\hat{\beta})$  is positive semi-definite.

To prove the theorem, we need to introduce another linear and unbiased estimator of  $\beta$ . Let us consider



$\bar{\beta} = C'y$ , where  $C$  is a  $N \times K$  matrix.

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(\bar{\beta}) &= C'E(y) \\
 &= C'E(X\beta + \varepsilon) \\
 &= C'X\beta + \underbrace{E(\varepsilon)}_{=0} \\
 &= C'X\beta \\
 &= \beta
 \end{aligned}$$

which is true only if  $C'X = I_k$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 Var(\bar{\beta}) &= Var(C'y) \\
 &= C'Var(y)C \\
 &= \sigma^2 C'C
 \end{aligned}$$

Notice that, under unbiasedness

$$\begin{aligned}
 Var(\hat{\beta}_{OLS}) &= \sigma^2 (X'X)^{-1} \\
 &= \sigma^2 \underbrace{C'X}_{=I_k} (X'X)^{-1} \underbrace{X'C}_{=I_k}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 Var(\bar{\beta}) - Var(\hat{\beta}_{OLS}) &= \sigma^2 C'C - \sigma^2 C'X(X'X)^{-1}X'C \\
 &= \sigma^2 C'[I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X']C \\
 &= \sigma^2 C'M'MC \\
 &= \sigma^2 C'MC
 \end{aligned}$$

which is positive semi-definite

## Exercise 7

Consider the classical Gaussian linear model  $Y \sim \mathcal{N}_n(X\beta, \sigma^2 I_n)$

- Show that maximizing the log-likelihood with respect to  $\beta$  is equivalent to minimizing the OLS criterion.
- What can you say about the estimation of  $\sigma^2$ ? Discuss.

**Solution**

a) Our linear regression model is:

$$Y = X\beta + \varepsilon$$

where  $\varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$  with first and second moments  $E(Y|X) = X\beta$  and  $Var(Y|X) = Var(\varepsilon|X) = \sigma^2$ .

We know that  $Y = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_N \end{pmatrix}}_{N \times 1}$ .

If we express our model abandoning the matrix notation, we have as well-known:

$$y_i = \beta' x_i + \varepsilon_i$$

where  $\varepsilon_i \sim i.i.d.N(0, \sigma^2)$ , so our y's are distributed as  $y_i \sim N(\beta' x_i, \sigma^2)$  with  $i = 1, \dots, N$ .

The probability density function, conditioning on the parameters  $\beta$  and  $\sigma^2$ , is denoted by:

$$f(y_i|x_i; \beta, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (y_i - \beta' x_i)^2 \right]$$

The joint density function is, by independence, equal to the product of the marginal densities:

$$f(y_1, \dots, y_N|X; \beta, \sigma^2) = f(y_1|X; \beta, \sigma^2) \dots f(y_N|X; \beta, \sigma^2) = \prod_{i=1}^N f(y_i|x_i; \beta, \sigma^2)$$

The likelihood function is defined as the joint density treated as a function of the parameters:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\beta, \sigma^2|y_1, \dots, y_N; X) &= \prod_{i=1}^N f(y_i|x_i; \beta, \sigma^2) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^N \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (y_i - \beta' x_i)^2 \right] \right\} \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^N \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (y_i - \beta' x_i)^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

It is usually simpler to work with the log of the likelihood function:

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(\beta, \sigma^2|y_1, \dots, y_N; X) &= \log L(\beta, \sigma^2|y_1, \dots, y_N; X) = \sum_{i=1}^N \log f(y_i|x_i; \beta, \sigma^2) \\ &= -\frac{N}{2} \log 2\pi - \frac{N}{2} \log \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_i (y_i - \beta' x_i)^2 \end{aligned}$$

In matrix notation:

$$\ell(\beta, \sigma^2|y_1, \dots, y_N; X) = \log L(\beta, \sigma^2|y_1, \dots, y_N; X) = -\frac{N}{2} \log 2\pi - \frac{N}{2} \log \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (y - X\beta)'(y - X\beta)$$

A maximum likelihood estimator of  $(\beta, \sigma^2)$  is a solution to the maximization problem:

$$\max_{\beta, \sigma^2 \in \Theta} \ell(\beta, \sigma^2)$$

Note that the solution to an optimization problem is invariant to a strictly monotone increasing transformation of the objective function, a MLE can be obtained as a solution to the following problem:

$$\max_{\beta, \sigma^2 \in \Theta} \ell(\beta, \sigma^2) = \max_{\beta, \sigma^2 \in \Theta} L(\beta, \sigma^2)$$

According to the OLS criterion, the OLS problem is equivalent to the problem:

$$\min_{\beta \in \Theta} S(\beta) = (y - X\beta)'(y - X\beta)$$

A solution  $\hat{\beta}$  must necessarily satisfy the system of linear equations called normal equations.

$$X'X\beta - X'y = 0$$

If you look at the log-likelihood function as:

$$\ell(\beta, \sigma^2) = -\frac{N}{2} \log 2\pi - \frac{N}{2} \log \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} S(\beta)$$

You see that maximizing the log-likelihood function with respect to  $\beta$  is equivalent to minimizing the OLS criterion. Then, the Gaussian ML and the OLS estimates of  $\beta$  coincide.

Taking the derivative of the log likelihood function with respect to  $\beta$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \beta} &= -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} 2(-X')(y - X\beta) \\ \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \beta} &= -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (-2X'y + 2X'X\beta) \\ \hat{\beta}_{ML} &= (X'X)^{-1}X'y = \hat{\beta}_{OLS} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\hat{\beta}_{ML} = \hat{\beta}_{OLS}$  is unbiased.

(b) Taking the derivative of the log likelihood function with respect to the parameter  $\sigma^2$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \sigma^2} &= -\frac{N}{2} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{2} \left( -\frac{1}{\sigma^4} \right) (y - X\beta)'(y - X\beta) \\ \hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2 &= \frac{(y - X\hat{\beta}_{ML})'(y - X\hat{\beta}_{ML})}{N} = \frac{e'e}{N} \end{aligned}$$

The ML estimator  $\hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2$  is biased for  $\sigma^2$  but has a lower sample variance than the unbiased estimator.

In fact:

$$\begin{aligned}
E(\hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2) &= E\left(\frac{e'e}{N}\right) = \frac{1}{N}E(e'e) \\
&= \frac{1}{N}\left[E(\varepsilon'\underbrace{M'M}_M\varepsilon)\right] = \frac{1}{N}E\left[tr(\varepsilon'M\varepsilon)\right] && \text{since } \varepsilon'M\varepsilon \text{ is a scalar} \\
&= \frac{1}{N}E\left[tr(M\varepsilon\varepsilon')\right] && \text{since } tr(ABC)=tr(BCA) \\
&= \frac{1}{N}tr\left[E(M\varepsilon\varepsilon')\right] && \text{since expectation is a linear operator} \\
&= \frac{1}{N}tr\left[ME(\varepsilon\varepsilon')\right] && \text{since M is non-stochastic} \\
&= \sigma^2 \frac{1}{N} \underbrace{tr(M)}_{N-k} && \text{since } tr(aA)=atr(A) \text{ where a is a scalar} \\
&= \sigma^2 \frac{N-k}{N} < \sigma^2
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the ML estimator of the variance is downward biased.