



Quantitative Methods III - Practice 3
Multiple Linear Regression

Prof. Lorenzo Cavallo: lorenzo.cavallo.480084@uniroma2.eu
Prof. Marianna Brunetti: marianna.brunetti@uniroma2.it

Exercise Given the table:

Regressor	(1)	(2)	(3)
College (X_1)	0.352 (0.021)	0.373 (0.021)	0.371 (0.021)
Male (X_2)	0.458 (0.021)	0.457 (0.020)	0.451 (0.020)
Age (X_3)		0.011 (0.001)	0.011 (0.001)
North (X_4)			0.175 (0.037)
South (X_5)			0.103 (0.033)
East (X_6)			-0.102 (0.043)
Intercept	12.840 (0.018)	12.471 (0.049)	12.390 (0.057)
<i>Summary and joint tests</i>			
F-statistic			21.87
SER	1.026	1.023	1.020
\bar{R}^2	0.0710	0.0761	0.0814
n	10973	10973	10973

The table reports the results on a series of regressions estimated on more than 10,000 individuals who worked full-time for the whole year in a developing country.

The analysis concerned both quantitative and dummy variables¹:

- AHE = logarithm of average hourly earnings (in 2007 units)
- College = dummy variable (1 if graduate, 0 otherwise)
- Male = dummy variable (1 if male, 0 if female)
- Age = age (in years)
- North = dummy variable (1 if Region = North, 0 otherwise)
- East = dummy variable (1 if Region = East, 0 otherwise)
- South = dummy variable (1 if Region = South, 0 otherwise)
- West = dummy variable (1 if Region = West, 0 otherwise)

1. For each of the three regressions, add * (level 5%) and ** (level 1%) to the table to indicate the significance of the coefficients.
2. Answer using the regression results reported in column (2)
 - (a) Is age an important determinant of earnings?
 - (b) Use an appropriate test statistic or confidence interval to justify your answer.
 - (c) Suppose Alvo is a 30-year-old college graduate and Kal is a 40-year-old college graduate. Construct a 95% confidence interval for the difference between expected wages.
3. Using the values reported in the table:
 - (a) Construct the R^2 for each of the regressions.
 - (b) Show how to construct the F statistic for testing the hypothesis that $\beta_4 = \beta_5 = \beta_6 = 0$ in the regression shown in column (3).
 - (c) Is the statistic significant at the 1% level?
 - (d) Show how to construct the F statistic to test the hypothesis that $\beta_4 = \beta_5 = \beta_6 = 0$ in the regression shown in column (3) using the Bonferroni test.
 - (e) Construct a 99% confidence interval for β_1 in the regression shown in column (3).

¹A dummy variable is one that takes the values 0 or 1 to indicate the absence or presence of some categorical effect that may be expected to shift the outcome.

Solution

- Calculating the test statistic:

$$t_i = \frac{\beta_i}{S.E.(\beta_i)}$$

and comparing it with the critical value at 5% ($t = 1.96$) and 1% ($t = 2.58$), the following table is obtained (in which the standard errors are indicated in round brackets and test statistics in square brackets):

Regressor	(1)	(2)	(3)
College (X_1)	0.352** (0.021) [16.762]	0.373** (0.021) [17.762]	0.371** (0.021) [17.667]
Male (X_2)	0.458** (0.021) [21.810]	0.457** (0.020) [22.850]	0.451** (0.020) [12.550]
Age (X_3)		0.011** (0.001) [11.000]	0.011** (0.001) [11.000]
North (X_4)			0.175** (0.037) [4.730]
South (X_5)			0.103** (0.033) [3.121]
East (X_6)			-0.102* (0.043) [-2.372]
Intercept	12,840** (0.018) [692.833]	12,471** (0.049) [254.510]	12,390** (0.057) [217.368]

- (a) Yes, age is an important determinant of wages.

Using the test statistic, we get:

$$t = \frac{0.011}{0.001} = 11$$

The test statistic is greater than 2.58 and this implies that the age coefficient is statistically significant at the 1% level.

- (b) The $(1-\alpha)\%$ confidence interval of a coefficient β_i is:

$$(1 - \alpha)\%CI(\beta_i) = [\beta_i \pm z_{1-\alpha/2} \times SE(\beta_i)]$$

In this case the 95% confidence interval of β_3 is:

$$95\%CI(\beta_3) = [\beta_3 \pm z_{0.025} \times SE(\beta_3)] = [0.011 \pm 1.96 \times 0.001] = [0.009; 0.013]$$

- (c) To construct the confidence interval we need to consider the age difference of the two subjects ($\Delta Age = 40 - 30 = 10$ years).

The confidence interval will be:

$$\Delta Age \times [\beta_3 \pm z_{0.025} \times SE(\beta_3)] = 10 \times [0.011 \pm 1.96 \times 0.001] = [0.0904; 0.1296]$$

As a percentage it is a difference in expected wages between 9.04% and 12.96%.

3. (a) From the formula of the Adjusted- R^2 (\bar{R}^2), we can get R^2 . In particular, knowing that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}^2 &= 1 - \frac{n-1}{n-k} \times (1 - R^2) = 1 - \frac{n-1}{n-k} \times \frac{RSS}{TSS} \\ &\rightarrow R^2 = 1 - \frac{n-k}{n-1} (1 - \bar{R}^2) \end{aligned}$$

It follows that:

- column (1) = $R^2 = 1 - \frac{10973-3}{10973-1} (1 - 0.0710) = 0.0712$
- column (2) = $R^2 = 1 - \frac{10973-4}{10973-1} (1 - 0.0761) = 0.0764$
- column (3) = $R^2 = 1 - \frac{10973-7}{10973-1} (1 - 0.0814) = 0.0819$

- (b) $H_0 : \beta_4 = \beta_5 = \beta_6 = 0$ $H_1 : \beta_i \neq 0$, for $i = 4, 5, 6$.

Knowing that:

$$F = \frac{R_{unrestricted}^2 - R_{restricted}^2 / q}{(1 - R_{unrestricted}^2) / (n - k_{unrestricted})}$$

we can test the null hypothesis starting from the R^2 of the regressions. For the non-restricted, the R^2 is that of column (3), while for the restricted we are going to consider the R^2 of column (2).

Considering the number of restrictions $q = 3$ and $n = 10973$, it follows that

$$F = \frac{0.0819 - 0.0764/3}{(1 - 0.0819)/(10973 - 7)} = 21.898$$

- (c) The critical value at 1% $F_{3,\infty} = 3.78$, is less than the F statistic, so we reject the null hypothesis at 1% significance level.
- (d) The Bonferroni test allows testing joint hypotheses on q coefficients starting from the t statistics relating to individual hypotheses but correcting the critical value as follows:

$$c = z_{1 - \frac{\alpha/q}{2}}$$

H_0 is rejected if at least one of the individual t-ratios is, in absolute value, greater than the critical value c , adjusted as above.

In our case, H_0 is a joint hypothesis on 3 coefficients, therefore $q = 3$. Setting $\alpha = 1\%$, we have:

$$c = z_{1 - \frac{\alpha/q}{2}} = z_{1 - \frac{0.01/3}{2}} = z_{0.9983} = 2.93$$

The t-statistics of the single coefficients subject to the null hypothesis are $t_4 = 4.730$, $t_5 = 3.121$ and $t_6 = -2.372$. Since $|t_6| < c$ but $|t_5| > c$ and $|t_4| > c$, the null hypothesis is rejected.

- (e) $[\beta_1 \pm 2.58 \times \text{SE}(\beta_1)] = [0.371 \pm 2.58 \times 0.021] = [0.3168; 0.4252]$