

VAR PROCESSES

1 Introduction to Vector Processes

Reading on matrices:

Chris Orme, *Lecture Notes in Linear Algebra*, cost £1.00, from Room N.4.3, Dover St. building.

Suppose we want to model k related time series $y_{1t}, y_{2t}, \dots, y_{kt}$. Define the vector

$$\mathbf{y}_t = \begin{bmatrix} y_{1t} \\ y_{2t} \\ \vdots \\ y_{kt} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (k \times 1)$$

For example, to analyse monetary policy, might use rate of interest (r_t), the output growth (g_t) and the rate of inflation (Δp_t):

$$\mathbf{y}_t = \begin{bmatrix} r_t \\ g_t \\ \Delta p_t \end{bmatrix}.$$

Develop a multivariate time series model for \mathbf{y}_t .

1.1 Vector white noise

The $k \times 1$ vector white noise process ε_t satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}E(\varepsilon_t) &= \mathbf{0} \\E(\varepsilon_t \varepsilon_t') &= \Sigma \\E(\varepsilon_t \varepsilon_s') &= \mathbf{0}, s \neq t\end{aligned}$$

Thus:

- each element has mean zero;
- variance-covariance matrix is constant over time;
- elements have zero autocorrelations and zero cross-correlations over time. For example, $k = 2$ & $s = t - 1$, we require

$$E(\varepsilon_t \varepsilon_{t-1}') = \begin{bmatrix} E(\varepsilon_{1t} \varepsilon_{1,t-1}) & E(\varepsilon_{1t} \varepsilon_{2,t-1}) \\ E(\varepsilon_{2t} \varepsilon_{1,t-1}) & E(\varepsilon_{2t} \varepsilon_{2,t-1}) \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}.$$

Vector white noise has not only $E(\varepsilon_{it} \varepsilon_{i,t-j}) = 0, j = 1, 2, \dots,$

but also cross-correlations over time,

$$\text{eg. } E(\varepsilon_{1t} \varepsilon_{2,t-j}) = E(\varepsilon_{2t} \varepsilon_{1,t-j}) = 0, j = 1, 2, \dots$$

Implication:

All past elements of ε_{t-j} are uncorrelated with current ε_t .

1.2 VAR(P) processes

Vector autoregressive process of order P , or VAR(P), is

$$\mathbf{y}_t = \boldsymbol{\delta} + \boldsymbol{\Phi}_1 \mathbf{y}_{t-1} + \boldsymbol{\Phi}_2 \mathbf{y}_{t-2} + \dots + \boldsymbol{\Phi}_P \mathbf{y}_{t-P} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t$$

where $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t$ is vector white noise with $E(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t') = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$.

Analogous to AR(p).

Note:

Any interrelations at t between y_{it} captured in $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$;

All interrelations over time between y_{it} & $y_{k,t-j}$ captured by VAR coefficients.

In lag operator notation:

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi}(L) \mathbf{y}_t = \boldsymbol{\delta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Phi}(L)$ is the $k \times k$ matrix polynomial

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi}(L) = \mathbf{I}_k - \boldsymbol{\Phi}_1 L - \boldsymbol{\Phi}_2 L^2 - \dots - \boldsymbol{\Phi}_P L^P.$$

Example: VAR(1) with $\delta = \mathbf{0}$ is

$$\mathbf{y}_t = \delta + \Phi \mathbf{y}_{t-1} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t.$$

For $k = 2$:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} y_{1t} \\ y_{2t} \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{11} & \phi_{12} \\ \phi_{21} & \phi_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_{1,t-1} \\ y_{2,t-1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{1t} \\ \varepsilon_{2t} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{11}y_{1,t-1} + \phi_{12}y_{2,t-1} + \varepsilon_{1t} \\ \phi_{21}y_{1,t-1} + \phi_{22}y_{2,t-1} + \varepsilon_{2t} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} E(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t') &= \begin{bmatrix} E(\varepsilon_{1t}^2) & E(\varepsilon_{1t}\varepsilon_{2t}) \\ E(\varepsilon_{2t}\varepsilon_{1t}) & E(\varepsilon_{2t}^2) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \sigma_{12} \\ \sigma_{12} & \sigma_2^2 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Phi(L) = \mathbf{I}_2 - \Phi L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \phi_{11}L & -\phi_{12}L \\ -\phi_{21}L & 1 - \phi_{22}L \end{bmatrix}$$

Points to note:

- For VAR with $P > 1$, an additional subscript (or superscript) is needed for elements of Φ_j to indicate lag j , in addition to the two subscripts used in a VAR(1) for the equation and the variable.
- The VAR(P) treats each of the k variables in the same way: there is no distinction between endogenous and exogenous variables.
- The VAR focuses on dynamic interrelationships between the variables.

2 Properties of VAR Processes

2.1 Stationarity

A VAR process is (second-order) stationary if:

$$\begin{aligned}E(\mathbf{y}_t) &= \boldsymbol{\mu} \text{ for all } t \\E(\mathbf{y}_t - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{y}_t - \boldsymbol{\mu})' &= \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0 \text{ for all } t \\E(\mathbf{y}_t - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{y}_{t-j} - \boldsymbol{\mu})' &= \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_j \text{ for all } t \text{ and any } j\end{aligned}$$

$\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_j = E(\mathbf{y}_t - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{y}_{t-j} - \boldsymbol{\mu})'$ is the autocovariance matrix at lag j .

Example: VAR between interest rates & inflation, at lag $j = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1 &= E(\mathbf{y}_t - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{y}_{t-1} - \boldsymbol{\mu})' \\&= E \begin{bmatrix} r_t - \mu_r \\ \Delta p_t - \mu_{\Delta p} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r_{t-1} - \mu_r & \Delta p_{t-1} - \mu_{\Delta p} \end{bmatrix} \\&= \begin{bmatrix} E(r_t - \mu_r)(r_{t-1} - \mu_r) & E(r_t - \mu_r)(\Delta p_{t-1} - \mu_{\Delta p}) \\ E(\Delta p_t - \mu_{\Delta p})(r_{t-1} - \mu_r) & E(\Delta p_t - \mu_{\Delta p})(\Delta p_{t-1} - \mu_{\Delta p}) \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

Diagonal elements of $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_j$ are lag j autocovariances;
Off-diagonal elements of $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_j$ are lag j cross-covariances.

Assume $\delta = 0$, and recall that, for nonsingular matrix \mathbf{A} ,

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \frac{1}{|\mathbf{A}|} \text{adj}[\mathbf{A}]$$

In VAR $\Phi(L)\mathbf{y}_t = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t \Rightarrow \mathbf{y}_t = \Phi^{-1}(L)\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t$.

Premultiply by $|\Phi(L)|$:

\Rightarrow

$$|\Phi(L)| \mathbf{y}_t = \text{adj}[\Phi(L)] \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t$$

where $\text{adj}[\Phi(L)]$ is adjoint matrix of $\Phi(L)$.

A determinant is scalar, so same AR $|\Phi(L)|$ applies to each variable.

\Rightarrow

Each variable in \mathbf{y}_t is stationary if all roots of

$$|\Phi(z)| = |\mathbf{I}_k - \Phi_1 z - \Phi_2 z^2 - \dots - \Phi_P z^P| = 0$$

lie outside the unit circle.

This is also the stationarity condition for the VAR.

Examples: VAR(1) with $k = 2$

1. $\phi_{11} = 1, \phi_{12} = -.6, \phi_{21} = .5, \phi_{22} = -.7$. Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_{1t} \\ y_{2t} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -.6 \\ .5 & -.7 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_{1,t-1} \\ y_{2,t-1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{1t} \\ \varepsilon_{2t} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\Phi(L) = \mathbf{I}_2 - \Phi L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - L & .6L \\ -.5L & 1 + .7L \end{bmatrix}$$

\Rightarrow

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi(z)| &= \begin{vmatrix} 1 - z & .6z \\ -.5z & 1 + .7z \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (1 - z)(1 + .7z) + .3z^2 \\ &= 1 - .3z - .7z^2 + .3z^2 \\ &= 1 - .3z - .4z^2 = (1 - .8z)(1 + .5z) \end{aligned}$$

Both roots are outside the unit circle and the VAR is stationary, despite $\phi_{11} = 1$.

2. $\phi_{11} = .9, \phi_{12} = .1, \phi_{21} = .2, \phi_{22} = .8$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi(z)| &= \begin{vmatrix} 1 - \phi_{11}z & -\phi_{12}z \\ -\phi_{21}z & 1 - \phi_{22}z \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 - .9z & -.1z \\ -.2z & 1 - .8z \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (1 - .9z)(1 - .8z) - .02z^2 \\ &= 1 - 1.7z + .7z^2 = (1 - z)(1 - .7z). \end{aligned}$$

System is nonstationary. Due to factor $(1 - z)$, both $y_{1t}, y_{2t} \sim I(1)$.

2.2 Mean and Autocovariances

For stationary VAR(P), take expectations in

$$\mathbf{y}_t = \boldsymbol{\delta} + \boldsymbol{\Phi}_1 \mathbf{y}_{t-1} + \boldsymbol{\Phi}_2 \mathbf{y}_{t-2} + \dots + \boldsymbol{\Phi}_P \mathbf{y}_{t-P} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t$$

\Rightarrow

$$\boldsymbol{\mu} = \boldsymbol{\delta} + \boldsymbol{\Phi}_1 \boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\Phi}_2 \boldsymbol{\mu} + \dots + \boldsymbol{\Phi}_P \boldsymbol{\mu}$$

& hence

$$\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mathbf{I}_k - \boldsymbol{\Phi}_1 - \boldsymbol{\Phi}_2 - \dots - \boldsymbol{\Phi}_P)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\delta} = \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{-1}(1) \boldsymbol{\delta}.$$

This is a generalisation of the AR(p) mean result.

Stationarity ensures $|\boldsymbol{\Phi}(1)| \neq 0$,
since $|\boldsymbol{\Phi}(z)|$ does not have a unit root.

Can also obtain $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_j$ as function of stationary VAR
coefficient matrices $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\Phi}_P$.

2.3 Moving Average Representation

Every stationary VAR process has an infinite order vector moving average (VMA) representation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}_t &= \Phi^{-1}(L)[\boldsymbol{\delta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t] \\ &= \boldsymbol{\mu} + \Phi^{-1}(L)\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t \\ &= \boldsymbol{\mu} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \Psi_{\ell} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t-\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

as $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \Phi^{-1}(1)\boldsymbol{\delta}$; note that $\Psi_0 = \mathbf{I}_k$.

For VAR(1), $\Phi^{-1}(L) = (\mathbf{I}_k + \Phi L + \Phi^2 L^2 + \dots)$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}_t &= \boldsymbol{\mu} + (\mathbf{I}_k + \Phi L + \Phi^2 L^2 + \dots)\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t \\ &= \boldsymbol{\mu} + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \Phi^i \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t-i}. \end{aligned}$$

and $\Psi_{\ell} = \Phi^{\ell}$.

For general VAR(P), Ψ_{ℓ} is a function of the VAR coefficient matrices Φ_1, \dots, Φ_P .

3 Interpreting VARs

There are many coefficients in a VAR. Say $P = 4$ with $k = 3$; each VAR equation involves $3 \times 4 = 12$ coefficients plus intercept

$\Rightarrow 3 \times 13 = 39$ coefficients in the system.

With, $k = 6$ and $P = 4$, $6 \times (6 \times 4 + 1) = 150$ coefficients!

Dynamic interrelationships in the VAR can be complex.

Say VAR(1) in g_t , Δp_t and r_t :

$$\Delta p_t = \delta_1 + \phi_{11}\Delta p_{t-1} + \phi_{12}g_{t-1} + \phi_{13}r_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{pt}$$

$$g_t = \delta_2 + \phi_{21}\Delta p_{t-1} + \phi_{22}g_{t-1} + \phi_{23}r_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{gt}$$

$$r_t = \delta_3 + \phi_{31}\Delta p_{t-1} + \phi_{32}g_{t-1} + \phi_{33}r_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{rt}$$

What is the effect of r on future Δp ?

- Rate of interest directly affects future inflation through $\phi_{23}r_{t-1}$.
- Also indirect effect through g_t (r_{t-1} influences g_t and g_{t-1} appears in Δp_t equation).
- Both g_{t-1} & Δp_{t-1} affect r_t , leading to a feedback effect through r_t .

Impulse response functions used for VAR interpretation.

3.1 Impulse Response Functions

Impulse response function is the dynamic effect of a disturbance ε_{jt} .

For monetary policy VAR, an interest rate disturbance ε_{rt} affects each of g , Δp & r .

For k variables there are k^2 impulse response functions, one for each of k disturbances on k variables.

VMA representation implies

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{y}_{t+\ell}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'_t} = \boldsymbol{\Psi}_\ell$$

so that

$$\frac{\partial y_{i,t+\ell}}{\partial \varepsilon_{jt}} = \psi_{ij}^\ell$$

where ψ_{ij}^ℓ is (i, j) th element of $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_\ell$.

\Rightarrow impulse response function for y_j on y_i is

$$\psi_{ij}^{\ell}, \quad \ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

As $\Psi_0 = \mathbf{I}_k$

$$\frac{\partial y_{it}}{\partial \varepsilon_{jt}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}.$$

This is response to $\varepsilon_{jt} = 1$.

Can set $\varepsilon_{jt} = a_j$ and show impulse responses as $a_j \psi_{ij}^{\ell}$ ($\ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$).

Shock a_j often taken as estimated standard deviation of ε_j .

3.2 Orthogonalised Impulse Response Functions

Impulse response functions above do not take account of disturbance covariance matrix Σ .

Effectively take $\varepsilon_{jt} = a_j, \varepsilon_{it} = 0, i \neq j$ despite $E(\varepsilon_{it}\varepsilon_{jt}) = \sigma_{ij} \neq 0$.

Therefore, transform ε_{jt} to u_{jt} that are mutually orthogonal;
that is, $E(u_{it}u_{jt}) = 0, i \neq j$.

Define \mathbf{u}_t by

$$\mathbf{u}_t = \mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t$$

where \mathbf{C} is lower triangular and nonsingular ($k \times k$), with diagonal elements of unity and

$$E(\mathbf{u}_t\mathbf{u}_t') = \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D} \text{ diagonal.}$$

This is the *Cholesky decomposition*.

Due to orthogonality, no disturbance u_{jt} provides information about another $u_{is}(i \neq j)$;
therefore u_{jt} affects no $y_{it}(i \neq j)$.

As $\varepsilon_t = \mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{u}_t$, VMA is

$$\mathbf{y}_t = \boldsymbol{\mu} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\ell} \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{u}_{t-\ell}$$

\Rightarrow

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{y}_{t+\ell}}{\partial \mathbf{u}'_t} = \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\ell} \mathbf{C}^{-1}.$$

The *orthogonalised impulse response function* is for y_j on y_i is:

$$\frac{\partial y_{i,t+\ell}}{\partial u_{jt}} \quad \ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

which is the (i, j) element of $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\ell} \mathbf{C}^{-1}$.

In practice, computed with $u_{jt} = a_j$
& all other $u_{it} = 0$.

a_j typically equal to estimated standard deviation
of u_{jt} .

It is important to understand that lower triangular C implies a causal order:

- $\varepsilon_{1t} \rightarrow \varepsilon_{2t}$;
- $\varepsilon_{1t}, \varepsilon_{2t} \rightarrow \varepsilon_{3t}$;
- $\varepsilon_{1t}, \varepsilon_{2t}, \dots, \varepsilon_{k-1,t} \rightarrow \varepsilon_{kt}$.

That is, within t , variables ordered first may cause later ones, but not vice versa.

Ordering of variables can have a substantial impact on orthogonalised impulse response functions.

Some econometricians and statisticians are sceptical about them.

VAR itself gives no information about causal ordering and hence it depends on *a priori* economic beliefs.

Example: Consider again the monetary policy VAR, with variables are ordered as:

$$\mathbf{y}_t = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta p_t \\ g_t \\ r_t \end{bmatrix}.$$

Orthogonalised impulse response functions here implicitly assume:

- current inflation may influence current growth;
- current inflation & growth may influence current interest rates;
- current interest rates & growth do not affect inflation in period t ;
- interest rates at t do not affect current growth.

Estimated VAR(4) model for UK inflation, GDP growth and interest rates.

Variable order 1: Inflation, growth, interest rates

Variable order 2: Growth, inflation, interest rates

➤ Same VAR coefficients

Orthogonalised Impulse Responses to one SE
shock to GROWTH

Effect on INFLATION

Horizon	Variable Order 1	Variable Order 2
0	0.00	-.15842
1	.09203	.01082
2	-.00937	-.07926
3	.09854	.05633
4	.16212	.09323
5	.12177	.06113
6	.09203	.03498
7	.07004	.02097
8	.06767	.01070
9	.06448	.01914
10	.05878	.01655
11	.05008	.01104
12	.04518	.00893

4 Modelling Issues

4.1 Estimation and Inference

Estimation of a VAR(P) process is by OLS, separately for each equation.

Although $E(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t') = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ (not necessarily diagonal), OLS is optimal.

Comment: Assumes unrestricted VAR (ie, same explanatory variables in each equation and no restrictions between coefficients).

Hypothesis tests for individual coefficients use usual t -ratios.

A test of, say $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_P = \mathbf{0}$, requires a system test, as coefficients in all k equations are involved.

These are based on

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_P = \frac{1}{T - P} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{e}_t \mathbf{e}_t'.$$

with residuals \mathbf{e}_t ($t = P + 1, \dots, T$) from VAR(P).

Note: OLS equation by equation can be shown to minimise $\ln \left| \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_P \right|$.

Usual system test is a *likelihood ratio* test,

$$LR = T \left[\ln \left| \hat{\Sigma}(R) \right| - \ln \left| \hat{\Sigma}(U) \right| \right]$$

where $\hat{\Sigma}(R)$, $\hat{\Sigma}(U)$ are the restricted and unrestricted estimated disturbance variance matrices.

When the restrictions are valid, asymptotically $LR \sim \chi_{df}^2$ with df = number of restrictions.

For testing

$$H_0 : \Phi_P = \mathbf{0}$$

unrestricted model is $\text{VAR}(P)$;
restricted model is $\text{VAR}(P - 1)$.

Under this H_0 , $LR \stackrel{a}{\sim} \chi_{k^2}^2$. [There are k zero restrictions in each of k equations.]

4.2 VAR Order Specification

As for $AR(p)$, two approaches for specification of P :

1. "Testing down": Start with maximum order P^* . Test

$$H_0 : \Phi_{P^*} = \mathbf{0}.$$

If H_0 not rejected, move to order $P^* - 1$ and test

$$H_0 : \Phi_{P^*-1} = \mathbf{0}.$$

Continue until H_0 is rejected.

2. Use a model specification criterion to select between orders $0, 1, \dots, P^*$. Most common are

$$AIC(P) = \ln \left| \hat{\Sigma}_P \right| + \frac{2Pk^2}{T - P}$$

and

$$SIC(P) = \ln \left| \hat{\Sigma}_P \right| + \frac{Pk^2 \ln(T - p)}{T - P}.$$

Select \bar{P} that minimises criterion.

Note: These are generalisations of criteria for univariate $AR(P)$, where $k = 1$.