


Measuring Efficiency in Health Care

Analytic Techniques
and Health Policy



Rowena Jacobs,
Peter C. Smith
and Andrew Street

CAMBRIDGE

Contents

<i>List of figures</i>	<i>page</i> viii
<i>List of tables</i>	x
<i>Preface</i>	xiii
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xv
<i>List of abbreviations</i>	xvi
1 Efficiency in health care	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 The demand for efficiency analysis in health care	2
1.3 Organisational efficiency	4
1.4 Analytic efficiency measurement techniques	10
1.5 Experience with efficiency analysis in health care	12
1.6 This book	13
2 The components of an efficiency model	17
2.1 Introduction	17
2.2 Unit of analysis	19
2.3 What are outputs in health care?	21
2.3.1 <i>Health outcomes</i>	22
2.3.2 <i>Health care activities</i>	27
2.4 Valuing health care outputs	28
2.5 Specifying inputs	29
2.5.1 <i>Labour inputs</i>	30
2.5.2 <i>Capital inputs</i>	31
2.5.3 <i>Summary</i>	33
2.6 Environmental constraints	33
2.7 Practical challenges	37
2.8 Conclusions	39
3 Stochastic frontier analysis of cross-sectional data	41
3.1 Introduction	41
3.2 Considerations in stochastic frontier analysis	42
3.2.1 <i>Whether to estimate a production or a cost function</i>	42
3.2.2 <i>Whether to transform variables</i>	43

3.2.3	<i>Whether to estimate a total or an average function</i>	44
3.2.4	<i>Which explanatory variables to include</i>	47
3.2.5	<i>How to model the residual</i>	50
3.2.6	<i>How to extract the efficiency estimates</i>	57
3.3	Application to acute hospitals in England	58
3.4	Conclusions	65
4	Stochastic frontier analysis of panel data	69
4.1	Introduction	69
4.2	Time-invariant efficiency	70
4.2.1	<i>Empirical application</i>	73
4.3	Time-varying efficiency	79
4.3.1	<i>Empirical application</i>	81
4.4	Unobserved heterogeneity	82
4.4.1	<i>Empirical application</i>	84
4.5	Summary and sensitivity analysis	86
4.6	Conclusions	89
5	Data envelopment analysis	91
5.1	Introduction	91
5.2	The DEA methodology	91
5.2.1	<i>Input-oriented efficiency</i>	92
5.2.2	<i>Output-oriented efficiency</i>	94
5.2.3	<i>DEA formulation</i>	96
5.3	Considerations in data envelopment analysis	100
5.3.1	<i>Whether to assume constant or variable returns to scale</i>	101
5.3.2	<i>Whether to assume an input or an output orientation</i>	105
5.3.3	<i>Whether to apply weight restrictions</i>	106
5.3.4	<i>Dealing with 'slacks'</i>	109
5.3.5	<i>Model specification and judging the quality of a DEA model</i>	111
5.3.6	<i>How to adjust for environmental factors</i>	115
5.4	Application to acute hospitals in England	117
5.4.1	<i>The methods and data</i>	117
5.4.2	<i>Model specifications</i>	118
5.4.3	<i>Results</i>	118
5.5	Conclusions	127
6	The Malmquist index	129
6.1	Introduction	129
6.2	The Malmquist methodology	130
6.2.1	<i>A graphical illustration</i>	130
6.2.2	<i>The general form of the Malmquist index</i>	133
6.3	Considerations in using the Malmquist index	137
6.4	Previous literature on the Malmquist index in health care	138
6.5	Application to acute hospitals in England	139
6.5.1	<i>The methods and data</i>	139

6.5.2	<i>Model specifications</i>	139
6.5.3	<i>Results</i>	139
6.6	<i>Conclusions</i>	149
7	<i>A comparison of SFA and DEA</i>	151
7.1	<i>Introduction</i>	151
7.2	<i>Why SFA and DEA produce different efficiency estimates</i>	151
7.3	<i>Other differences between SFA and DEA</i>	154
7.4	<i>Comparison of different methodologies</i>	156
7.4.1	<i>The methods and data</i>	156
7.4.2	<i>Model specifications</i>	156
7.4.3	<i>Results</i>	159
7.5	<i>Conclusions</i>	164
8	<i>Unresolved issues and challenges in efficiency measurement</i>	167
8.1	<i>Introduction</i>	167
8.2	<i>Output weights</i>	167
8.3	<i>Modelling the production process</i>	171
8.4	<i>Environmental constraints</i>	174
8.5	<i>Dynamic effects</i>	177
8.6	<i>Conclusions</i>	178
9	<i>Some alternative approaches to measuring performance</i>	179
9.1	<i>Introduction</i>	179
9.2	<i>Multilevel modelling</i>	180
9.3	<i>Generalised statistical modelling</i>	187
9.3.1	<i>Illustrative example</i>	192
9.4	<i>Seemingly unrelated regression (SUR) in a multilevel context</i>	197
9.4.1	<i>Illustrative example</i>	199
9.5	<i>Conclusions</i>	204
10	<i>Conclusions</i>	207
10.1	<i>Introduction</i>	207
10.2	<i>Output weights</i>	208
10.3	<i>Partitioning unexplained variation</i>	209
10.4	<i>Unresolved technical issues</i>	211
10.5	<i>For policy makers and regulators</i>	213
	<i>Appendix: Data description</i>	215
	<i>References</i>	219
	<i>Author index</i>	233
	<i>Subject index</i>	237

Figures

1.1	Efficiency measurement under constant returns to scale	page 5
1.2	The case of two outputs	6
1.3	The case of two inputs	6
1.4	Allocative efficiency with two inputs	8
1.5	Allocative efficiency with two outputs	8
1.6	Technical and allocative inefficiency	9
1.7	Economies of scale	10
2.1	The naïve model of organisational performance	18
2.2	Change in health status: before and after intervention	25
2.3	Change in health status: with and without intervention	25
2.4	The dynamic nature of organisational performance	32
2.5	The broader context of efficiency analysis	38
3.1	Linear and logarithmic functional forms	44
3.2	Illustration of heteroscedastic data	46
3.3	Illustration of an OLS regression and COLS frontier	52
3.4	Illustration of a stochastic frontier	54
3.5	Histograms showing (a) the residual from the OLS model; (b) the inefficiency term from the half-normal model; (c) the inefficiency term from the truncated model; (d) the inefficiency term from the exponential model	56
4.1	Mean changes in costs and activity over time	77
5.1	Technical and allocative efficiency under an input orientation	93
5.2	Technical and allocative efficiency under an output orientation	95
5.3	Constant and variable returns to scale	102
5.4	Input and output orientation	106
5.5	Efficiency measurement and input slacks	110
5.6	Efficiency measurement and output slacks	110

5.7	Distribution of efficiency scores for five DEA models	123
6.1	Illustration of productivity change with one input and one output	131
6.2	Mean expenditure and activity, 1994/95–1997/98	142
6.3	Malmquist TFP indices	145
6.4	Decomposition of Malmquist index for Model 2	146
8.1	The production possibility frontier: different preferences lead to different weights	168
8.2	Composite scores indicated by the lines C_1C_1 and C_2C_2	169
8.3	Observed performance of five systems with identical expenditure and environment	170
9.1	Performance ranking using aggregate organisational data	181
9.2	Changes in performance ranking based on hierarchical structure	182
9.3	Hierarchical data structure	183
9.4	The production possibility frontier with two performance indicators	188
9.5	Multivariate hierarchical data structure	201
9.6	Waiting time for routine surgery – sensitivity analysis of health authority effects	204

Tables

3.1	Calculating individual efficiency estimates for each organisation	<i>page 57</i>
3.2	Descriptive statistics	60
3.3	Regression results for average cost function	63
3.4	Correlations across average cost specifications	65
3.5	Regression results for total cost function	66
3.6	Correlations across total cost specifications	68
3.7	Correlations across average and total cost specifications	68
4.1	Summary of time-invariant SF panel data models	74
4.2	Descriptive statistics	75
4.3	Estimates from time-invariant SF models	78
4.4	Estimates from time-varying SF models	82
4.5	Estimates from 'true' SF models	85
4.6	Comparison of estimates from different models	87
4.7	Sensitivity analysis of mean efficiency	88
5.1	DEA model variables, 1997/98	119
5.2	DEA model specifications	121
5.3	DEA model specifications and efficiency results	122
5.4	Correlations between efficiency scores	123
5.5	Correlations between efficiency ranks	124
5.6	Individual hospital scores and rankings under various specifications	125
5.7	Data for Models 1 and 2 of DEA specifications for ten hospitals	126
5.8	Tobit Model of DEA efficiency scores regressed against a single environmental factor	127
6.1	Mean values for Malmquist model variables	140
6.2	Malmquist model specifications	143
6.3	Malmquist TFP results	144
6.4	Correlations between Malmquist TFP scores	145

6.5	Individual hospital scores and rankings for each year for Model 2	147
6.6	Data for Model 2 of Malmquist specification for hospital I	148
7.1	Comparison of SFA and DEA	154
7.2	Model variables, 1997/98	157
7.3	Model specifications	159
7.4	Model 1 efficiency scores	160
7.5	Correlations of SFA and DEA efficiency scores for Model 1	160
7.6	Individual hospital scores and rankings for Model 1	161
7.7	Descriptive statistics for SFA and DEA efficiency scores	162
7.8	Individual hospital scores and rankings under various specifications	163
9.1	Socio-demographic data used in needs index	184
9.2	Three-level random intercept model to explain proportion of variability in performance indicators attributable to regional and district health authorities	186
9.3	OLS and SUR results for three performance indicators	194
9.4	Correlations of OLS residuals	197
9.5	Performance indicators and socio-economic variables	200
9.6	Correlation of health authority effects from the multivariate multilevel model	202