



TOR VERGATA
UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI ROMA

Quantitative Methods III - Practice 7
Time Series: trends and breaks

Prof. Lorenzo Cavallo: lorenzo.cavallo.480084@uniroma2.eu
Prof. Marianna Brunetti: marianna.brunetti@uniroma2.it

Stock & Watson - Chapter 15

Exercise 15.1 Consider the AR(1) model:

$$Y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Y_{t-1} + u_t.$$

Suppose the process is stationary.

a. Show that

$$E(Y_t) = E(Y_{t-1})$$

b. Show that

$$E(Y_t) = \frac{\beta_0}{1 - \beta_1}$$

Exercise 15.2 The Index of Industrial Production (IP_t) is a monthly time series that measures the quantity of industrial commodities produced in a given month. This problem uses data on this index for the United States. All regressions are estimated over the sample period from 1986:M1 to 2017:M12 (that is, January 1986 through December 2017).

Let:

$$Y_t = 1200 \times \ln\left(\frac{IP_t}{IP_{t-1}}\right)$$

- a. A forecaster states that Y_t shows the monthly percentage change in IP, measured in percentage points per annum. Is this correct? Why?
- b. Suppose she estimates the following AR(4) model for Y_t :

$$\hat{Y}_t = 0.749 + 0.071Y_{t-1} + 0.170Y_{t-2} + 0.216Y_{t-3} + 0.167Y_{t-4}$$

(0.488) (0.088) (0.098) (0.078) (0.064)

Use this AR(4) to forecast the value of Y_t in January 2018, using the following values of IP for July 2017 through December 2017:

Data	2017:M7	2017:M8	2017:M9	2017:M10	2017:M11	2017:M12
IP	105.1	104.56	104.84	106.58	106.86	107.30

- c. Worried about potential seasonal fluctuations in production, she adds Y_{t-12} to the autoregression. The estimated coefficient on Y_{t-12} is -0.061 , with a standard error of 0.043 . Is this coefficient statistically significant?
- d. Worried about a potential break, she computes a QLR test (with 15% trimming) on the constant and AR coefficients in the AR(4) model. The resulting QLR statistic is 1.80 . Is there evidence of a break? Explain.

Exercise 15.3 Using the same data as in Exercise 15.2, a researcher tests for a stochastic trend in $\ln(IP_t)$, using the following regression:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \ln(\hat{IP}_t) = & 0,026 + 0,000097t - 0,0070\ln(IP_{t-1}) + 0,068\Delta \ln(IP_{t-1}) \\ & (0,013) \quad (0,000067) \quad (0,0037) \quad (0,050) \\ & + 0,169 \Delta \ln(IP_{t-2}) + 0,219\Delta \ln(IP_{t-3}) + 0,173\Delta \ln(IP_{t-4}) \\ & (0,049) \quad (0,050) \quad (0,051) \end{aligned}$$

where the standard errors shown in parentheses are computed using the homoskedasticity-only formula and the regressor t is a linear time trend.

- a. Use the ADF statistic to test for a stochastic trend (unit root) in $\ln(P_t)$.
- b. Do these results support the specification used in Exercise 15.2? Explain

Exercise 15.4 The forecaster in Exercise 15.2 augments her AR(4) model for IP growth to include four lagged values of ΔR_t , where R_t is the interest rate on three-month U.S. Treasury bills (measured in percentage points at an annual rate).

- a. The F-statistic on the four lags of ΔR_t is 3.91. Do interest rates help predict IP growth? Explain.
- b. The researcher also regresses ΔR_t on a constant, four lags of ΔR_t , and four lags of IP growth. The resulting F-statistic on the four lags of IP growth is 1.48. Does IP growth help to predict interest rates? Explain.

Solutions

15.1. (a) Since the probability distribution of Y_t is the same as the probability distribution of Y_{t-1} (this is the definition of stationarity), the means (and all other moments) are the same.

(b) $E(Y_t) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 (Y_{t-1}) + E(u_t)$, but $E(u_t) = 0$ and $E(Y_t) = E(Y_{t-1})$.

Thus $E(Y_t) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 E(Y_t)$, and solving for $E(Y_t)$ yields the result.

15.2. (a) The statement is correct. The monthly percentage change in IP is $\frac{IP_t - IP_{t-1}}{IP_{t-1}} \times 100$ which can be approximated by $[\ln(IP_t) - \ln(IP_{t-1})] \times 100 = 100 \times \ln\left(\frac{IP_t}{IP_{t-1}}\right)$ when the change is small.

Converting this into an annual (12 month) change yields $1200 \times \ln\left(\frac{IP_t}{IP_{t-1}}\right)$.

(b) The values of Y from the table are:

Date	2017:M7	2017:M8	2017:M9	2017:M10	2017:M11	2017:M12
IP	105.01	104.56	104.82	106.58	106.86	107.30
Y		-5.14	2.98	19.98	3.15	4.93

The forecasted value of Y_t for January 2008 is:

$$\hat{Y}_{2018:M1|2017:M12} = 0.749 + [0.071 \times 4.93] + [0.170 \times 3.15] + [0.216 \times 19.98] + [0.167 \times 2.98] = 6.45$$

(c) The t -statistic on Y_{t-12} is $t = \frac{-0.061}{0.043} = -1.42$ with an absolute value less than 1.96, so the coefficient is not statistically significant at the 5% level.

(d) For the QLR test, there are 5 coefficients (including the constant) that are being allowed to break. Compared to the critical values for $q = 5$ in Table 15.5, the QLR statistic 1.80 is smaller than the 10% critical value (3.26). Thus, the hypothesis that these coefficients are stable is not rejected at the 10% significance level.

15.3. (a) To test for a stochastic trend (unit root) in $\ln(IP)$, the ADF statistic is the t -statistic testing the hypothesis that the coefficient on $\ln(IP_{t-1})$ is zero versus the alternative hypothesis that the coefficient on $\ln(IP_{t-1})$ is less than zero.

The test is a left-tailed test (the rejection region is in the left tail of the distribution).

If the null hypothesis is rejected $\ln(IP)$ is stationary (no unit root), if the null hypothesis is not rejected $\ln(IP)$ contains a stochastic trend (unit root).

The calculated t -statistic is $t = \frac{-0.0070}{0.0037} = -1.89$.

From Table 15.4, the 10% critical value with a time trend is -3.12 .

Because $-1.89 > -3.12$, the test does not reject the null hypothesis that $\ln(IP)$ has a unit autoregressive root at the 10% significance level, so the $\ln(IP)$ contains a stochastic trend.

TABLE 15.4 Large-Sample Critical Values of the Augmented Dickey-Fuller Statistic

Deterministic Regressors	10%	5%	1%
Intercept only	-2.57	-2.86	-3.43
Intercept and time trend	-3.12	-3.41	-3.96

(b) The ADF test supports the specification used in Exercise 15.2. The use of first differences in Exercise 15.2 eliminates random walk trend in $\ln(IP)$.

15.4. (a) The critical value for the F -test is 3.32 at a 1% significance level. Since the F -statistic 3.91 is larger than the critical value, we can reject the null hypothesis that interest rates have no predictive content for IP growth at the 1% level.

(b) The F -statistic of 1.48 is smaller than the 10% critical value, so we cannot reject the null hypothesis that IP growth does not help forecast future interest rates.