

Statistics, Fall 2025 - Practice Session 5

Asymptotics & Hypothesis Testing

TA: Stylianos Daskalinas

Email: stylianosdaskalinas@gmail.com

Office hours by appointment. Office D3, 3rd floor , Bld. B

23/10/2025

Problem 1

Consider a random sample $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ where X_i follows a distribution with density

$$f(x; a) = (1 + a)x^a \mathbb{1}_{[0,1]}(x)$$

Given some significance level α , find the best critical region for testing the hypotheses

$$\mathcal{H}_0 : a = 1 \quad , \quad \mathcal{H}_1 : a = 2$$

using the Likelihood Ratio method.

Solution

The Likelihood function is

$$L(a|\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1+a)x_i^a = (1+a)^n \left[\prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right]^a$$

Then, according to the Neyman-Pearson lemma, the form of the best critical region is

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \frac{L(a=1|\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n)}{L(a=2|\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n)} \leq k \right\} = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^n \left[\prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right]^{-1} \leq k \right\} \\ &= \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \geq \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^n \right\} = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid - \sum_{i=1}^n \log(x_i) \leq c \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Then, we reject the null if $-\sum_{i=1}^n \log(x_i) \leq c$, and we know from the previous practice session that $-\sum_{i=1}^n \log(x_i) \sim \chi_{2n}^2$. The best test will be given by the critical value c such

that

$$\alpha = \Pr\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \log(x_i) \leq c\right) = \Pr(\chi_{2n}^2 \leq 2)$$

Finally, we can recover the critical value for the rejection region by calculating the α -quantile of the χ_{2n}^2 distribution.

Problem 2

Consider two independent random samples $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $\{Y_j\}_{j=1}^m$, where

$$X_i \sim N(a, c) \quad , \quad Y_j \sim N(b, c)$$

where all three parameters are unknown. For a significance level α , construct the rejection region for the null hypothesis $\mathcal{H}_0 : a = b$.

Solution

First, since the two samples are independent, their joint likelihood is simply the product of the two individual samples' likelihoods:

$$\begin{aligned} L(a, b, c | \{x_i\}_{i=1}^n, \{y_j\}_{j=1}^m) &= \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; a, c) \prod_{j=1}^m f(y_j; b, c) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi c}} e^{-\frac{1}{2c} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - a)^2} \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi c}} e^{-\frac{1}{2c} \sum_{j=1}^m (y_j - b)^2} \\ &= (2\pi c)^{-\frac{(n+m)}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2c} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - a)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^m (y_j - b)^2 \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

For the Likelihood under the null, we need to substitute $a = b$ and maximize with respect to the two parameters. We can use the log-likelihood to simplify calculations:

$$\begin{aligned} \log \left(L(a, c | \{x_i\}_{i=1}^n, \{y_j\}_{j=1}^m) \right) &= \log \left((2\pi c)^{-\frac{(n+m)}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2c} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - a)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^m (y_j - a)^2 \right] \right\} \right) \\ &= -\frac{n+m}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{n+m}{2} \log(c) - \frac{1}{2c} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - a)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^m (y_j - a)^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

The first order condition

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \log \left(L(a, c | \{x_i\}_{i=1}^n, \{y_j\}_{j=1}^m) \right) &= 0 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{c} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - a) + \sum_{j=1}^m (y_j - a) \right] = 0 \\ \Rightarrow n\bar{x} + m\bar{y} - (n+m)a &= 0 \Rightarrow \hat{a}_0 = \frac{n}{n+m}\bar{x} + \frac{m}{n+m}\bar{y} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial c} \log \left(L(a, c | \{x_i\}_{i=1}^n, \{y_j\}_{j=1}^m) \right) &= 0 \Rightarrow -\frac{n+m}{2c} + \frac{1}{2c^2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - a)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^m (y_j - a)^2 \right] = 0 \\ \Rightarrow \hat{c}_0 &= \frac{1}{n+m} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \hat{a}_0)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^m (y_j - \hat{a}_0)^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the maximizers in the Likelihood function, we get

$$L_0 = (2\pi e \hat{c}_0)^{-\frac{n+m}{2}}$$

For the Likelihood under the alternative, we need to simply maximize the initial Likelihood function. Again, we can use the log-likelihood for ease of calculations

$$\begin{aligned} \log \left(L(a, b, c | \{x_i\}_{i=1}^n, \{y_j\}_{j=1}^m) \right) &= \log \left((2\pi c)^{-\frac{(n+m)}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2c} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - a)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^m (y_j - b)^2 \right] \right\} \right) \\ &= -\frac{n+m}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{n+m}{2} \log(c) - \frac{1}{2c} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - a)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^m (y_j - b)^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

The first order conditions are:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial a} \log \left(L(a, b, c | \{x_i\}_{i=1}^n, \{y_j\}_{j=1}^m) \right) = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{c} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - a) = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{a}_1 = \bar{x}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial b} \log \left(L(a, b, c | \{x_i\}_{i=1}^n, \{y_j\}_{j=1}^m) \right) = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{c} \sum_{j=1}^m (y_j - b) = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{b}_1 = \bar{y}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial c} \log \left(L(a, b, c | \{x_i\}_{i=1}^n, \{y_j\}_{j=1}^m) \right) &= 0 \Rightarrow -\frac{n+m}{2c} + \frac{1}{2c^2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - a)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^m (y_j - b)^2 \right] = 0 \\ \Rightarrow \hat{c}_1 &= \frac{1}{n+m} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \hat{a}_1)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^m (y_j - \hat{b}_1)^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

The maximized Likelihood under the alternative is

$$L_1 = (2\pi e \hat{c}_1)^{-\frac{n+m}{2}}$$

Then, we can construct the Likelihood Ratio statistic as

$$\Lambda = \frac{L_0}{L_1} = \left(\frac{\hat{c}_1}{\hat{c}_0} \right)^{\frac{n+m}{2}}$$

and, for some $k \in [0, 1]$ the critical region as

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \mid \left(\frac{\hat{c}_1}{\hat{c}_0} \right)^{\frac{n+m}{2}} \leq k \right\} \\ &= \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \mid \frac{\hat{c}_1}{\hat{c}_0} \leq k^{\frac{2}{n+m}} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Now, notice that, denoting by S_x^2 the sample variance of $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and by S_y^2 the sample variance of $\{y_j\}_{j=1}^m$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{c}_1 &= \frac{1}{n+m} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \hat{a}_1)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^m (y_j - \hat{b}_1)^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n+m} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + \sum_{j=1}^m (y_j - \bar{y})^2 \right] = \frac{n}{n+m} S_x^2 + \frac{m}{n+m} S_y^2 \end{aligned}$$

Also, see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \hat{a}_0)^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(x_i - \frac{n}{n+m} \bar{x} - \frac{m}{n+m} \bar{y} \right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(x_i - \bar{x} + \bar{x} - \frac{n}{n+m} \bar{x} - \frac{m}{n+m} \bar{y} \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(x_i - \bar{x} + \frac{m}{n+m} (\bar{x} - \bar{y}) \right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x}) \frac{n}{n+m} (\bar{x} - \bar{y}) + n \left(\frac{m}{n+m} \right)^2 (\bar{x} - \bar{y})^2 \\ &= n S_x^2 + n \left(\frac{m}{n+m} \right)^2 (\bar{x} - \bar{y})^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^m (y_j - \hat{a}_0)^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^m \left(y_j - \frac{n}{n+m} \bar{x} - \frac{m}{n+m} \bar{y} \right)^2 = \sum_{j=1}^m \left(y_j - \bar{y} + \bar{y} - \frac{n}{n+m} \bar{x} - \frac{m}{n+m} \bar{y} \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m \left(y_j - \bar{y} + \frac{n}{n+m} (\bar{y} - \bar{x}) \right)^2 = \sum_{j=1}^m (y_j - \bar{y})^2 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^m (y_j - \bar{y}) \frac{n}{n+m} (\bar{y} - \bar{x}) + m \left(\frac{n}{n+m} \right)^2 (\bar{y} - \bar{x})^2 \\ &= m S_y^2 + m \left(\frac{n}{n+m} \right)^2 (\bar{y} - \bar{x})^2 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $\hat{c}_0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{c}_0 &= \frac{1}{n+m} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \hat{a}_0)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^m (y_j - \hat{a}_0)^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n+m} \left[mS_x^2 + n \left(\frac{m}{n+m} \right)^2 (\bar{x} - \bar{y})^2 + mS_y^2 + m \left(\frac{n}{n+m} \right)^2 (\bar{y} - \bar{x})^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{n}{n+m} S_x^2 + \frac{m}{n+m} S_y^2 + \frac{nm}{(n+m)^2} (\bar{x} - \bar{y})^2\end{aligned}$$

Then, the final shape of the statistic is

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda^{\frac{2}{n+m}} &= \frac{\frac{n}{n+m} S_x^2 + \frac{m}{n+m} S_y^2}{\frac{n}{n+m} S_x^2 + \frac{m}{n+m} S_y^2 + \frac{nm}{(n+m)^2} (\bar{x} - \bar{y})^2} \\ &= \frac{nS_x^2 + mS_y^2}{nS_x^2 + mS_y^2 + \frac{nm}{n+m} (\bar{x} - \bar{y})^2} \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{\frac{nm}{n+m} (\bar{x} - \bar{y})^2}{nS_x^2 + mS_y^2} \right)^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

It can be shown that, under the null hypothesis, the statistic

$$T = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{nm}{n+m}} (\bar{x} - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\frac{nS_x^2 + mS_y^2}{n+m-2}}}$$

follows the t distribution with $n+m-2$ degrees of freedom, therefore, the critical region becomes

$$\Lambda \leq k \Rightarrow \frac{n+m-2}{n+m-2+T^2} \leq k^{\frac{2}{n+m}} \Rightarrow |T| \geq (n+m-2)(k^{-\frac{2}{n+m}} - 1) = c$$

Then, for a significance level α , according to the Neyman-Pearson lemma we can recover the critical values of the Uniformly-Most-Powerful test by finding a number c such that

$$\alpha = \Pr(\Lambda \leq k) = \Pr(|T| \geq c)$$

Since we know that $T \sim t_{n+m-2}$, c will simply be the $1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}$ quantile of the t distribution, and the decision rule will be

Reject $\mathcal{H}_0$ if $|\hat{T}| > t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n+m-2}$

Accept $\mathcal{H}_0$ otherwise

Notes

- If $X \sim N$ and $Y \sim \chi_k^2$, and the two random variables are independent, then

$$T = \frac{X}{\sqrt{Y/k}} \sim t_k$$