

Microeconomics for Business

Practice Session 3

Instructor: Eloisa Campioni
 TA: Ugo Zannini
 University of Rome “Tor Vergata”

April 28, 2016

Esercizio 1. Show that there are no mixed-strategy Nash equilibria in the Prisoners’ Dilemma

	Mum	Fink
Mum	-1, -1	-9, 0
Fink	0, -9	-6, -6

and in

	L	M	R
U	1, 0	1, 2	0, 1
D	0, 3	0, 1	2, 0

Esercizio 2. Consider the following finite version of the Cournot duopoly model in an environment with inverse demand $P(Q) = a - Q$ and cost function cq_i for $i = 1, 2$.

Suppose each firm must choose either half the monopoly quantity, $\frac{q_M}{2} = \frac{a-c}{4}$, or the Cournot equilibrium quantity, $q_c = \frac{a-c}{3}$. No other quantities are feasible. Show that this two-action game is equivalent to the Prisoners’ Dilemma: each firm has a strictly dominated strategy, and both are worse off in equilibrium than they would be if they cooperated.

Esercizio 3.

Consider the following extensive form game. Characterize:

- a. the pure-strategy subgame perfect Nash equilibria of the game;
- b. write down the normal form representation of the same game, identifying the strategies of every player;
- c. compute the Nash equilibria of the game, and compare them with the results in *a*.

Esercizio 4. Three oligopolists operate in a market with inverse demand given by $P(Q) = a - Q$, where $Q = q_1 + q_2 + q_3$ and q_i is the quantity produced by firm i . Each firm has a constant marginal cost of production, c , and no fixed cost. The firms choose their quantities as follows: (1) firm 1 chooses $q_1 \geq 0$; (2) firms 2 and 3 observe q_1 and then simultaneously choose q_2 and q_3 , respectively. What is the subgame-perfect Nash equilibrium?

