

# EEBL - Business Statistics

## Assignment 3

The dataset considered for this assignment originated from 4601 emails sent to an individual (named George, at HP labs, before 2000). For each email message the true outcome, labeled as 1 if the message is **spam** or 0 if the message is a valid **email**, is available, along with 57 input variables measuring the relative frequencies with which selected words or punctuation marks, listed in table 1, occurred. Variables 55-57 measure the length of sequences of consecutive capital letters. See Hastie, Tibshirani and Freedman (HTF), page 2 and section 9.1.2 for further details.

The data set is available as the file spam.csv and is accessed in R using the following statements.

```
rm(list = ls()) # remove previous objects
spam = read.csv("spam.csv", sep = ",", header = T);
attach(spam)
table(y)
```

The objective of the assignment is to construct and validate a classifier of whether an incoming email is 'spam' or a valid message (this is the basis of an anti-spam filter).

The 4601 observations are divided into a training set, consisting of 3200 observations, while the rest is used for validation. Use the same seed as in assignment 2. This could be achieved by the following statements:

```
Nobs = nrow(spam)
names(spam)
set.seed(      ) # set the seed as in assignment 2
N = 3200
s = sample( 1:Nobs, N)
train = spam[s,]
test = spam[-s,]
```

You should address the following points:

- a. Fit the full logistic model using all the variables as inputs. Use the following code:

```
model_full = factor(y)~
  A.1+A.2+A.3+A.4+A.5+A.6+A.7+A.8+A.9+A.10+A.11+A.12+A.13+A.14+A.15+A.16+A.17+A.18+A.19+
  A.20+A.21+A.22+A.23+A.24+A.25+A.26+A.27+A.28+A.29+A.30+A.31+A.32+A.33+A.34+A.35+A.36+
  A.37+A.38+A.39+A.40+A.41+A.42+A.43+A.44+A.45+A.46+A.47+A.48+A.49+A.50+A.51+A.52+A.53+
  A.54+A.55+A.56+A.57;
full = glm(model_full, family=binomial(link="logit"), data = train);
summary(full);
```

Comment on the results and on the goodness of fit as measured by the deviance and the missclassification rate.

- b. Perform variable selection by Forward Stepwise, which can be implemented here as

```
# stepwise selection (using the standard stat library)
sel.f = step(restr, scope=formula(full), direction="forward", steps = 50, k=log(N))
summary(sel.f)
```

here restr is the null model containing only the intercept, i.e.

```
restr = glm(factor(y)~1, family=binomial(link="logit"), data = train).
```

- c. Evaluate the missclassification rate in the test sample: do you achieve about the same rate by using the selected model compared to the full model?

```
# missclassification error in training sample
pred.full = predict.glm( full, train[,-58], type="response" )
merror.full.train = mean( as.numeric(pred.full >0.5) != train$y)
merror.full.train
# missclassification error in test sample
pred.full = predict( full, test[,-58], type="response" )
merror.full = mean( as.numeric(pred.full >0.5) != test$y)
merror.full
# missclassification error in test sample
pred.sel = predict(sel.f, test[,-58], type="response" )
merror.sel = mean( as.numeric(pred.sel >0.5) != test$y)
merror.sel
```

- c. Perform estimation by Lasso using the R statements below. Read the Glm Vignette.

```
xmat = as.matrix(train[,-58])
yvar = as.factor(train$y)
glmod = glmnet(xmat, yvar, alpha=1, family="binomial")
plot(glmod, xvar="lambda")
cvfit = cv.glmnet(xmat, as.factor(y[s]), family = "binomial", type.measure = "class")
plot(cvfit)
cvfit$lambda.min
coef(cvfit, s = "lambda.min")
```

Is the estimated model similar to that selected by forward stepwise?

Is the predictive performance in the test sample improved with respect to the full model? To predict the out of sample observations use:

```
predict(cvfit, newx = as.matrix(test[,-58]), s = "lambda.min", type = "class")
```

This assignment is due by 11:00 p.m. of October 17. You should upload in

<https://www.dropbox.com/request/90mzH2xBRAbqYhwhu0Y0>

a unique pdf file with the results and your comments. The filename must be YourSurname\_YourName\_Assignment3.pdf.

Table 1: Words and punctuation marks considered by variables 1-54

Variable	Word	Variable	Word	Variable	Word	Variable	Word	Variable	Word	Variable	Word	Variable	Word
1.	make	10.	mail	19.	you	28.	650	37.	1999	46.	edu	47.	edu
2.	address	11.	receive	20.	credit	29.	lab	38.	parts	48.	table	49.	conference
3.	all	12.	will	21.	your	30.	labs	39.	pm	50.	;	51.	(
4.	3d	13.	people	22.	font	31.	telnet	40.	direct	52.	!	53.	\$
5.	our	14.	report	23.	0	32.	857	41.	cs	54.	#		
6.	over	15.	addresses	24.	money	33.	data	42.	meeting				
7.	remove	16.	free	25.	hp	34.	415	43.	original				
8.	internet	17.	business	26.	hpl	35.	85	44.	project				
9.	order	18.	email	27.	george	36.	technology	45.	re				