

# UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA TOR VERGATA

## EEBL - Statistical Learning

### 1 Revision Week 2

This week we have covered the linear regression model. Study Chapter 3 of your textbook. Sections 3.1–3.2 deal with specification, estimation and testing. Sections 3.3.1–3.3.2 deals with qualitative input variables, polynomial terms and interactions. Subsection 3.3.5 deals with leverage. Subsection 3.3.6 deals with multicollinearity. Subsections 3.6.2–3.6.6 provide illustrations in R.

- Replication of the illustrations of linear regression using the Advertising data presented in Ch. 3 of the textbook are available in Lab1.
- (*This is not an exercise, but a discussion of leverage*)  
The hat matrix  $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'$  plays an important role in regression analysis. The fitted values are a linear combination of the observed values,  $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{y}$ , so that for the  $i$ -th unit

$$\hat{y}_i = h_{i1}y_1 + \cdots h_{ii}y_i + \cdots + h_{iN}y_N.$$

The diagonal element,  $h_i = h_{ii}$ , is the weight that the  $i$ -th observation receives in forming the fitted value:  $h_i = \frac{\partial \hat{y}_i}{\partial y_i}$ .

In terms of the observations

$$h_i = \mathbf{x}_i'(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{x}_i.$$

It measures the leverage effect of the  $i$ -th observation, which depends on the remoteness of the  $i$ -th observation from the others in the space of the  $X$ 's (think of  $\mathbf{x}_i'(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{x}_i$  as a distance).

The  $h_i, i = 1, \dots, N$  have the following properties:

$$\frac{1}{N} \leq h_i \leq 1, \sum_{i=1}^N h_i = p + 1,$$

so that the mean is  $\frac{1}{N} \sum_i h_i = \frac{p+1}{N}$ . A large leverage implies that a particular observation is influential for the fit: often, values larger than twice the mean ( $2(p+1)/N$ ) are flagged. An index plot can be used to visualise leverage (plot  $h_i$  vs  $i$ ).

It can be shown that for  $p = 1$  (simple linear regression), since  $\mathbf{x}_i' = [1, x_i]$  and

$$\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} N & \sum_i x_i \\ \sum_i x_i & \sum_i x_i^2 \end{bmatrix},$$

it follows that

$$h_i = \frac{1}{N} + \frac{(x_i - \bar{x})^2}{\sum_j (x_j - \bar{x})^2}.$$

We see from this expression that  $h_i$  measures how far away is  $x_i$  from the average of the  $x$ s, relative to the deviance of  $x$ . In the script `br_LinearRegression.R`, the  $h_i$ 's are retrieved by the function `hatvalues()`, which applies to the output created by the function `lm()`.

The  $h_i$ 's contribute to the variance of the OLS residuals and fitted values as  $\text{Var}(e_i|\mathbf{X}) = \sigma^2(1 - h_i)$  and  $\text{Var}(\hat{y}_i|\mathbf{X}) = \sigma^2 h_i$ .

## 2 Exercises

1. For the `br.csv` dataset, we regress log-price on log-sqft and log-age (with an intercept). There are  $N = 1080$  observations. Try with `regr = lm(log(price)~log(sqft)+log(Age))`. This enables to interpret the estimated coefficients as elasticities (logarithmic derivatives). Illustrate the main estimation results.
2. Knowing that

$$(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3591 & -0.0455 & -0.0038 \\ -0.0455 & 0.0058 & 0.0003 \\ -0.0038 & 0.0003 & 0.0005 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \begin{bmatrix} 4.6840 \\ 0.9524 \\ -0.0824 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \sum_i e_i^2 = \mathbf{e}'\mathbf{e} = 103.5403,$$

and that  $N = 1080$ , compute the residual standard error  $\hat{\sigma}$  (see the slides for formula). Is it possible to compute the  $t$ -value for the coefficient  $\beta_1$  from the information provided above?

The  $t$ -value for  $H_0 : \beta_2 = 0$  is -11.7 and the corresponding  $p$ -value is virtually 0; are you willing to accept the null hypothesis?

Knowing further that the total sum of squares is  $TSS = 296.8724$ , what is the value of  $R^2$ ? Do you think it is satisfactory?

3. Solve Exercise n. 5, page 122 of the textbook.
4. Solve Exercise n. 13, points (a)-(g) page 126.
5. Let  $\mathbf{x}_1$  denote a vector of  $N = 10$  temperatures in degrees Celsius, generated as  $x_1 \sim N(20, 3)$  and let  $\mathbf{y}$  be the corresponding consumption of beer (in cans), that is linearly related to temperature. Here,  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  denotes the normal distribution with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ .

We create a new variable,  $\mathbf{x}_2 = 32 + 1.8\mathbf{x}_1$ , which represents temperatures converted to degrees Fahrenheit.

We regress  $Y$  on  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ . However, as we can see from the code below, something went wrong. What, in particular?

```
x1 = rnorm(10, 20, 3)
y = round(20 * x1 + rnorm(10,0,15))
plot(x1,y)
x2 = 32+1.8 * x1
summary(lm(y ~ x1+x2))
cor(x1,x2)
```

(To execute the above code, open RStudio; from the menu File select **New** → **R Script**. Copy and paste the code, select and **Run**. Make sure that  $\sim$  is copied correctly).

6. *Exam preparation: This question was worth 10 (2+2+2+2+2) points out of 70 in the last written exam.*

The following table summarizes the results of estimating a linear regression model for total sales (logarithms) from a training sample of  $N = 300$  observations:

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	8.00315	0.27603	28.993	<2e-16
log(nfull)	-0.06970	0.06286	-1.109	0.2684
log(nown)	-0.17284	0.08320	-2.077	0.0386
log(npart)	0.12427	0.07764	****	0.1105
log(hoursw)	1.16220	0.06277	18.515	<2e-16

---

Residual standard error: 0.4514 on 295 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared: 0.589, Adjusted R-squared: 0.5834

- What is the interpretation of the  $p$ -value 0.2684 associated to the explanatory variable  $\log(\text{nfull})$  (log of number of full-time workers)?
- Is  $\log(\text{nfull})$  significant at the 10% level?
- Why is there a difference between Multiple R-squared and Adjusted R-squared? Describe the nature of the adjustment.
- Obtain the missing  $t$  value for  $\log(\text{npart})$ .
- Construct an approximate 95% confidence interval for the coefficient  $\beta_4$  of the variable  $\log(\text{hoursw})$ , assuming  $\hat{\beta}_4 - \beta_4 \sim N(0, 0.06277^2)$  and recalling that for  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ ,  $P(-1.96 < Z < 1.96) = 0.95$ .